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## LUMBER SAVED BY USING ODD LENGTHS.

The National Lumber Manufacturers' Association adopted resolutions in 1909 in favor of the manufacture of odd lengths of flooring, ceiling, drop and bevel siding, finish, partition, and molding. The leading lumber manufacturers of the Pacific coast have gone a step farther and have put the resolutions into effect. Heretofore, even lengths have been the rule; that is, lumber has been cut into lengths that are multiples of 2 feet, as $10,12,14,16$, and so on. These resolutions have prompted the Forest Service of the Department of Agriculture to investigate the effect they might have in saving waste in lumber manufacture. Statistics gathered on the Pacific coast and in the yellow-pine regions of the South show that the manufacture of nothing but even lengths results in a waste of material, and that a considerable saving can be effected by cutting odd lengths.

Under conditions that prevail upon the Pacific coast the making of odd lengths will increase the output of the different classes of products considered by 2.07 per cent, and this saving will come from material heretofore wasted.

An inquiry in the yellow-pine region, covering both shortleaf and longleaf yellow-pine lumber, shows that a similar saving can be effected in the South. The results are given in Tables 1 to 4 .

The investigation in the South, as in the West, was limited to flooring, ceiling, siding, finish, molding, and partition material. The percentage which it is possible to save, therefore, is based on that part of the sawmill output that goes into these products. Some of the mills at which figures were obtained were large, others were comparatively small. The mills numbered 5 and 6 in Tables 1,2 , and 3 were of about 60,000 feet daily capacity, and afforded an excellent opportunity to check the results at larger mills. These smaller mills, however, were operated by large concerns, which operated in addition their main mills of 150,000 to 200,000 feet daily capacity. The results from these two, averaged together, differ but slightly from the final results.

[^0]Table 1 gives, for six yellow-pine mills, the amount of lumber tallied, the amount trimmed off in both sawmill and planing mill, and shows how much of the amount trimmed off is lost because odd lengths are not manufactured. The average loss due to the nonmanufacture of odd lengths is 1.21 per cent of the material.

Table 2 shows the possible saving by trimming to odd lengths in the planing mill only. It also shows the saving possible in the different mills for flooring, siding, partition, and ceiling. If odd lengths were cut in the planing mill only, the saving would be 0.62 per cent of the planing-mill output. The saving by cutting odd lengths in the planing mill would, therefore, be a little more than the saving in the sawmill.

Estimating the planing-mill products at 22 per cent of the total output of yellow pine, the figures obtained in this study indicate that approximately $30,000,000$ feet of planing-mill material might be saved annually by manufacturing odd lengths in both sawmill and planing mill. At $\$ 20$ per thousand the value of this material is $\$ 600,000$.

If his operation be something near an average one, the individual manufacturer may calculate with reasonable accuracy his possible saving by cutting odd lengths. Taking 1.21 per cent of his planingmill output, he should find its value at his f. o. b. mill price for the class of material which he is considering. This amount represents the value of the material saved by cutting to odd lengths at both the sawmill and planer. Against this he must figure, first, for the extra trimming apparatus, and possibly extra pay to the trimmer; second, for extra shedding for planing-mill material before it is taken to the planer, plus extra cost of handling; third, for the extra shedding for the planing-mill material awaiting shipment, plus the extra cost of handling. If he trims the odd lengths at the planer only, he will save 0.62 per cent of his planing-mill products, and be required to meet only the expense of shedding and handling after the material has passed the planing mill.

The principal objection to odd lengths has come from the retailer and the consumer, who declare that owing to present standards of construction, odd lengths of planing-mill products can not be utilized with as great economy as when only even lengths are used.

It has not heretofore been made clear what percentage of odd lengths would be shipped if their manufacture were standardized. Table 3 presents figures from two mills on this point. The figures indicate that if odd lengths were made in both sawmill and planing mill the result would be about 16 per cent of odd length material; if made at the planer only, approximately 11 per cent. A larger percentage could not have been secured without involving a sacrifice
by trimming off clear lumber. The retailer may on this basis calculate the cost to himself of extra shedding and of introducing odd lengths to the trade.

The consumer wants to know how many odd-length boards can be used to adrantage in building. Table 4 shows that in material 9 feet and over in length 39.5 per cent can be used, a much greater per cent than the retailer could supply. The investigation shows that all of the odd lengths in the hands of the retailer can be used by the trade, but not by all classes of buyers in the trade. Some buyers will want 40 per cent odd lengths, others as little as 5 per cent. Moreover, certain odd lengths will be useful to one builder and different odd lengths to another. One builder may be able to use 11 and 13 foot boards, another builder may want only 15 and 17 foot boards. In the long run, however, the retailer can easily dispose of all of his stock. Table 4 also indicates the larger proportion of material which is used under 9 feet in length, and of this the still greater proportion of odd lengths.

From this analysis it appears that a reasonable basis exists for the manufacture of odd lengths of planing-mill products in the yellowpine industry. For the manufacturer there is a salvage of waste material which will pay for itself and bring in a profit besides. For the builder there is a present need of odd lengths which is not now supplied. For the most economical results he needs nearly 40 per cent of odd-length stuff. There is no prospect of his getting more than 16 per cent. He will not be orersupplied. Conditions, therefore, emphasize the advisability of manufacturing odd lengths. It remains for manufacturers, retailers, and consumers of yellow pine to get together and agree upon means of bringing them into use under the most favorable conditions for the trade.

Table 1.- Waste due to even-length manufacture, sawmill and planing mill combined.

| Mill. | Quantity <br> of lumber tallied. | A mount trimmed off. |  |  | Feet un-necessawasted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total. | Necessary waste. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unneces- } \\ & \text { sary } \\ & \text { waste. } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $5 a$ $6 a$ | Board feet. 34, 497 39, 956 18,765 25.082 12.325 20,208 | $\begin{array}{\|r\|}  \\ \text { Per cent. } \\ 5.22 \\ 6.93 \\ 88.89 \\ 8.93 \\ 77.47 \\ 7.92 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}  \\ \text { Per cent. } \\ 4.18 \\ 6.04 \\ 6.56 \\ 7.70 \\ 6.55 \\ 6.67 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per cent. } \\ 1.04 \\ .89 \\ 2.33 \\ 1.23 \\ .92 \\ 1.25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 359 \\ & 356 \\ & 435 \\ & 309 \\ & 113 \\ & 253 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total.. | 150.833 | 7.29 | 6.08 | 1.21 | 1,825 |
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Table 2.-Possible saving by trimming to odd lengths in the planing mill only.

| Mill. | Form. | Lengths. | Board feet tallied. | Possible saving. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Flooring, 1x4 feet. | Feet. <br> 6 to 20... | 12,677 | Board ft. 68 | Per cent. |
| 2 | .....do............ | 10 to $20 \ldots$. | 4,087 | 19 | . 46 |
| 4 | .do. | 8 to 20.. | 4,172 | 28 | . 67 |
| 5. | ....do do.......... | 10 to 16... | 3,194 | 26 | . 81 |
| 2 | D. siding, 1x6 feet | 10 to $20 \ldots$. | 2,073 | 7 | . 34 |
|  | - ....do. | 8 to 16... | 809 | 7 | . 87 |
| 6. | Partition, 1x4 feet | 8 to 18... | 1,108 | 11 | . 99 |
| 1 | Ceiling, 1x4 feet. | 8 to 16... | 1,034 | 10 | . 97 |
| 2. |  | 10 to $20 \ldots$ | 1,001 | 7 | . 70 |
| 3. | do | 10 to 20... | 2,500 | 20 | . 80 |
| 5. | do. | 8 to 18... | 1,632 | 8 | . 49 |
| Total |  |  | 34, 287 | 211 | . 62 |

Table 3.-Percentage of boards possible to manufacture to odd lengths.

| Mill. | Sawmill. |  |  | Planer. |  |  | Total per cent odd lengths. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boards tallied. | Odd lengths. |  | Boards tallied. | Odd lengths. |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Per cent. |  | No. | Per cent. |  |
| 5. | 642 1,986 | 25 115 | $3.89$ | 1,008 245 | 102 32 | 10.12 13.06 | 14.01 18.85 |
| Total. | 2,628 | 140 | 5.33 | 1,253 | 134 | 10.69 | 16.02 |

Table 4.-Percentage of odd lengths used in building.

| Description.a | Total number. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Odd } \\ & \text { lengths. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Odd } \\ & \text { lengths. } \end{aligned}$ | Total pieces 9 feet and up | Odd lengths 9 feet and up. | $\underset{\text { lengths }}{\text { Odd }}$ 9 feet and up. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flooring. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12 \times 16$ feet 9 inches; 16 inches on centers; subfloor; gum; one-half of loor; Laurel, Miss. | 78 | Number. <br> 20 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per cent. } \\ 25.6 \end{array}$ | Number. 36 | Number. | Per cent. 0.0 |
| $17 \times 15$ feet 6 inches; 16 inches on centers; subfloor; gum; Laurel, Miss |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 feet 6 inches x 15 feet 8 inches; 16 inches on | 174 | 66 | 37.9 | 78 | 37 | 47.4 |
| centers; subfloor; gum; Laurel, Miss | 184 | 111 | 60.3 | 55 | 23 | 41.8 |
| $80 \times 20$ feet; 18 inches on centers; on joists; yellow pine; five-ninths of floor; Laurel, Miss. | 293 | 55 | 18.8 | 197 | 46 | 23.4 |
| 14 feet 4 inches $x$ i1 feet 8 inches; 24 inches on centers; subfloor; yellow pine; Laurel Miss. | 101 | 48 | 47.5 | 1 1 | 1 | 100.0 |
| 15 feet 6 inches x 15 feet; 24 inches on centers; subfloor; yellow pine; Laurel, Miss ........ | 38 | 30 | 79.0 | 34 | 30 | 88.2 |
| $24 \times 15$ feet; 16 inches on centers; subfloor; yellow pine; four-fifths of floor; Hattiesburg, Miss. | 79 | 18 | 22.8 | 51 | 9 | 17.6 |
| 25 feet 6 inches x 15 feet 3 inches; 16 inches on centers; subfloor; yellow pine; Hattiesburg, Miss. | 115 | 52 | 45.2 | 63 | 14 | 22.2 |
| 21 feet 4 inches x 15 feet; 16 inches on centers; subfloor; yellow pine; Hattiesburg. Miss. | 105 | 16 | 15.2 | 65 | 8 | 12.3 |
| 2 parts; 34 feet 8 inches x 6 feet; one-half floor and 59 feet x 5 feet 9 inches, one-third floor; 16 inches on centers; subfloor; yel- | 61 | 19 | 31.1 | 50 | 11 | 22.0 |
| 40 feet 4 inches $x 30$ feet; 18 inches on centers; subfloor; yellow pine; one-fourth of floor; Laurel, Miss. | 36 | 15 | 41.8 | 28 | 11 | 39.3 |
| A verage. | 1,264 | 450 | 35.6 | 658 | 190 | 28.9 |

Table 4.-Percentage of odd lengths used in building-Continued.

| Description. | Total number. | Odd <br> lengths. | Odd lengths. | Total pieces 9 feet and up. | Odd lengths 9 feet and up. | Odd lengths 9 feet and up. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| siding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Back of 2-story house; 24 inches studding; vellow pine; Laurel, Miss. | 171 | Number. <br> 40 | Per cent. 23.4 | Number. 14 | Number. | Per cent. 0.0 |
| 2 sides of 2-story house; 16 inches on studding; rellow pine: Laurel, Miss. | 204 | 94 | 46.1 | 78 | 36 | 46. 2 |
| 2 stories on one side and second story of front; 16 inches on studding; yellow pine; Hattiesburg, Miss | 253 | 93 | 36.8 | 111 | 50 | 45.0 |
| First story, 4 sides; 24 inches on studding; vellow pine; Laurel, Miss. | 7 ¢2 | 505 | 66.3 | 110 | 65 | 59.1 |
| Entire house; 16 inches on centers; sheathing used; spruce; Irving Park, Chicago, III | 488 | 353 | 72. 3 | 146 | 104 | 71.2 |
| 3 sides of house; 16 inches on centers; sheathing used; spruce; Albany Park, Chicago, | 583 | 295 | 50.6 | 96 | 44 |  |
| Do. | 370 | 131 | 35. 4 | 113 | 41 | 45.8 36.3 |
| A verage. | 2,831 | 1,511 | 53.4 | 668 | 340 | 50.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Side ceiling; 24 inches on centers; kitchen; yellow pine; Laurel, Miss. | 154 | 91 | 59.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Side ceiling; 24 inches on centers; hath; yellow pine; Laurel, Miss. | 110 | 70 | 63.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Side ceiling; 24 inches on centers; bedroom; |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| yellow pine; Laurel, Miss. | 355 | 146 | 40.1 | 102 | 34 | 33.3 |
| Do | 226 | 75 | 33.2 | 68 | 11 | 16.2 |
| Side ceiling; 24 inches on centers; kitchen; |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| yellow pine; Laurel, Miss | 378 | 143 | 30.0 | 76 | 40 | 52.6 |
| Do | 540 | 214 | 39.6 | 59 | 17 | 28.8 |
| A rerage | 1,763 | 739 | 41.9 | 305 | 102 | 33.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base; 16 inches on centers; yellow pine; Laurel, Miss. | 16 | 7 | 43.8 | 3 | 1 | 33.3 |
| Molding; 16 inches on centers; yellow pine; |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Laurel, Miss ............................ | 23 | 13 | 56.5 | 2 | 2 | 100.0 |
| 1 single and 1 double door; yellow pine; Laurel, Miss. | 56 | 43 | 76.8 | 21 | 20 | 95.2 |
| Base and molding; 16 inches on centers; jel- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| low pine; Hattiesburg, Miss... | 16 | 11 | 70.0 | 6 | 4 | 66.7 |
| Do........ | 11 | 7 | 63.6 | 0 | 0 | . 0 |
| 2 doors; yellow pine; Hattiesburg, Miss...... | 11 | 8 | 72.7 | 0 | 0 | O |
| 5 windows: yellow pine; Hattieshurg, Miss. | 65 | 60 | 92.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Closet; yellow pine; Hattiesburg, Miss..... | 9 | 7 | 77.7 | 0 | 0 | . 0 |
| Base and molding; 15 inches on centers; yellow pine; Hattiesburg, Miss |  | 43 | 48.3 | 15 |  |  |
| Door; yellow pine; Hattiesburg, Miss. | 5 | 3 | 60.0 | 0 | 0 | . |
| 3 windows; yellow pine; Hattiesburg, Miss.. | 7 | 5 | 71.4 | 2 |  | . 0 |
| A rerage | 308 | 207 | 67.2 | 49 | 31 | 63.3 |
| A veraze all forms. | 6,166 | 2,907 | 47.1 | 1,580 | 663 | 39.5 |

Approved:
James Wilson,
Secretary.
Washington, D. C., June 25. 1910.
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[^1]:    a Mills of 60,000 feet capacity, at which it was possible to check the accuracy of the results at the other mills, which were larger.

